

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/321,360	05/27/1999	MICHAEL F. GUHEEN	ANDIP101	6371	
7590 10/15/2003			EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD P C POST OFFICE BOX 2903			ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3623	·	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i seri		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	7	09/321,360	GUHEEN ET AL.	K		
		Examiner	Art Unit	9		
		Akiba K Robinson-Boyce	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 20 Therefore, further action by the applic final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 ma condition for allowance; (2) a timely fil Examination (RCE) in compliance with	ant is required to avery ay <u>only</u> be either: (1) ed Notice of Appea	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a Ition in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 mon						
no event, however, will the statutory ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN T 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained unde see have been filed is the date for purposes of	period for reply expire I HE FIRST REPLY WAS r 37 CFR 1.136(a). The determining the period o	of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The app	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension		
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reimely filed, may reduce any earned patent terr	eply received by the Offic n adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final reje			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed or 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extens 		Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) w	vill not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that	would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new	•	•				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to platissues for appeal; and/or	ace the application in	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional cla	aims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.		
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome		· · ·				
 Newly proposed or amended cl canceling the non-allowable cla 		be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
 The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, application in condition for allo 			dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT raised by the Examiner in the f		ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which wer	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the pro- explanation of how the new or	•	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or	will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consi	deration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction	on filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information I	Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:				2		
		SUPE TE	TARIO R. HAPIZ ERVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER	KAMINER 3800		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues that Rassman does not teach a Web Architecture Framework. However, the Web Architecture Framework is obvious with Rassman's system since Rassman discloses the "management of a plurality of interrelated and interdependent resources using a computer system". In Web technology, a web architecture framework consists of a plurality of interrelated and interdependent computer resources, both hardware and software. It would therefore be obvious to conclude that hardware and software components of web architecture can be managed and visually represented. In addition, the applicant argues tha Tassman does not teac or suggest indicia coding of components. However, Rassman discloses the use of indicia coding. Rassman presents sets of components of a system for providing a web architecture framework that are to be delivered in phases and teaches the management of resources and the display of these resources for several phases. Looking at Figure 7, Rassman, et al shows a first, second and third phase of Project Y. Here, the display shows that Project Y uses Resource 123 and 223 for Phase One and Two and Resource 224 for Phase Three. Here, the examiner is interpreting the "Resources" of Rassman, et al as the components of the present invention. In this scenario, the resources used for different phases are represented by the vertical rectangles labeled "X" One, "Y" One, "X" Two and "Y" Two. In this case, the vertical rectangles represent the indicia coding for the resources during these different phases. As described in Rassman et al in Col 7, lines 51-55, indicia such as scheduling indicators can be represented by these vertical rectangles. In addition, "Rassman, et al also discloses reference states in the abstract that "Indicia can be made to appear on the display to provide visual identification of symbols as well as information about scheduling, status and conflicts involving the resources". . .